JS 44 (Rev. 10/20)

### Case 2:22-cv-04426-MM-L Cocurrent SHEIR 11/04/22 Page 1 of 18

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANT	S					
Antawine Mathis										
Throw the manner				Jorge Calles, et al.,						
(b) County of Residence of	of First Listed Plaintiff	Philadelphia County		County of Residence of First Listed Defendant  Hunterdon County, IL						
(EZ	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	SES)					LAINTIFF CASES OF			
				NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.						
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, 1	Address, and Telephone Number	r)		Attorneys (If Known)						
Simon & Simon, PC 18 Campus Blvd., Su	sita 100									
Newtown Square, PA										
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in (	One Box Only)	III. CI	 	PRIN	NCIPA	L PARTIES (1	Place an "X" in	One Box fo	or Plaintiff
1 U.S. Government 3 Federal Question				(For Diversity Cases Onl	y) PTF	DEF	a	nd One Box for	Defendant) PTF	DEF
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government N	Not a Party)	Citize	en of This State	X 1		Incorporated or Pri		<u> </u>	<u>4</u>
							of Business In T	his State		
2 U.S. Government Defendant	X 4 Diversity	p of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State	2	X 2	Incorporated and Proof Business In A		<u> </u>	<b>X</b> 5
Detendant	(maicate Citizensni)	p of 1 arties in hem in)						mother state		
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3	<u> </u>	Foreign Nation		□ 6	<u></u> 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box On	ly)			Cli	ck here	for: Nature of S	uit Code De	scription	<u>1S</u> .
CONTRACT		RTS		FORFEITURE/PENALTY		BANKRUPTCY		OTHER STATUTES		
110 Insurance 120 Marine	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane	PERSONAL INJUR  365 Personal Injury -	Y	5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 88		422 App 423 With	eal 28 USC 158 ndrawal	375 False 0 376 Qui Ta		
130 Miller Act	315 Airplane Product	Product Liability	69	0 Other			JSC 157	3729(	a))	
140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability  320 Assault, Libel &	367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical				=	400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust			
& Enforcement of Judgment	Slander 330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injury Product Liability			П	820 Cop 830 Pate		430 Banks 450 Comm		ng
152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	368 Asbestos Personal			Н	835 Pate	nt - Abbreviated	460 Depor	tation	
Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	340 Marine 345 Marine Product	Injury Product Liability				New 840 Trad	Drug Application	470 Racke	teer Influer t Organiza	
153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPER		LABOR		880 Defe	end Trade Secrets	480 Consu	mer Credit	t
of Veteran's Benefits  160 Stockholders' Suits	X 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending		0 Fair Labor Standards Act		Act	of 2016	485 Teleph	SC 1681 o ione Consi	
190 Other Contract	Product Liability	380 Other Personal	72	0 Labor/Management			L SECURITY		tion Act	
195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise	360 Other Personal Injury	Property Damage 385 Property Damage	74	Relations 0 Railway Labor Act	H		. (1395ff) ek Lung (923)	490 Cable/ 850 Securi		nodities/
_	362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	Product Liability	75	1 Family and Medical Leave Act			/C/DIWW (405(g)) D Title XVI	Excha 890 Other		Actions
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION		0 Other Labor Litigation		865 RSI		891 Agricu	ıltural Acts	3
210 Land Condemnation	440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting	Habeas Corpus:  463 Alien Detainee	☐ 79	1 Employee Retirement Income Security Act		FEDED	AL TAX SUITS	893 Enviro		
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	442 Employment	510 Motions to Vacate	:	meome security rec		870 Taxe	es (U.S. Plaintiff	Act		ination
240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability	443 Housing/ Accommodations	Sentence 530 General					Defendant) —Third Party	896 Arbitra 899 Admir		rocedure
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	535 Death Penalty		IMMIGRATION			USC 7609	Act/Re	view or A	ppeal of
	Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	Other: 540 Mandamus & Oth		2 Naturalization Applicat 5 Other Immigration	ion			Agenc 950 Consti	y Decision tutionality	
	Other 448 Education	550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition		Actions				State S	tatutes	
	140 Education	560 Civil Detainee -								
		Conditions of Confinement								
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" is	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		-		•			•		
		Remanded from Appellate Court	☐4 Reins Reop	ened Anot	her Di		6 Multidistric		Multidis Litigatio	on -
	Cite the U.S. Civil Star	tute under which you a	re filing (1	(spec	00/	unless di	Transfer		Direct I	ile
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	28 U.S.C. 8 1391(a		ic ming (2	oo noi ene jurisuienonui .	simmes	uniess ui	versuy).			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Brief description of ca Motor Vehicle Acc									
VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. JURY DEMAND: XYes No										
VIII. RELATED CASI										
IF ANY (See instructions): JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER										
DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD  11/04/2022 Warc Simon										
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY										
RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE			MAG. JUD	OGE		

### Case 2:22-cv-04426-WMMED STOCKES PISTRICF DEOLETA 1/04/22 Page 2 of 18 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

**DESIGNATION FORM**(to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriate calendar)

Address of Defendants. Nitosabases Anna, NI 18873.  Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:  Broad St., through its intersection with Callowhill St., in Philadelphia, PA  **RELATED CASE, IF ANY:**  Case Number:  Judge:  Date Terminated:  Civil cases are deemed related when Yes is answered to any of the following questions:  1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered sait pending or within one year previously terminated action in this count?  2. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier Yes No X mumbered sait in one year previously terminated action in this count?  3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier Yes No X mumbered sait pending or within one year previously terminated action in this count?  4. Is this case a second or succeeding behavior one year previously terminated action of this count?  1. Indemnity on the same individual?  1. Indemnity courtes, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts and Other Contracts and Other Contracts and All Other Contracts and Other Contracts a	Address of Plaintiff:	- 4525 N Carlisle St., Philadelphia, PA			
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:  Case Number:   Judge:   Date Terminated:    Civil cases are deemed related when Yes is answered to any of the following questions:  1. Is this case related to properly included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?  2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit yes   No   X    2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit yes   No   X    3. Does this case involve the salidity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action of this court?  4. Is this case a second or successive sheave corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights   Yes   No   X    1. Lectrify that, to my knowledge, the within case   is   S   is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.  CIVIL: (Place a \( \) in one category only)  A. Federal Question Cases:   B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:    1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts   B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:    2. Applicate Contract and Other Contracts   B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:    3. Jones Act-Personal Injury   3. Assault, Defamation    4. Anitrus   B. Anitrus   B. Montry Vehicle Personal Injury    5. Anything Personal Injury   4. Anitrus    6. Anitrus   B. Montry Vehicle Personal Injury    6. Mark Simon   Cases   Cases   Cases    6. Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my knowledge and hellef; the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000,000 exclusive of interest and costs:    7. Civil Rule 52.2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my knowledge and hellef; the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000,000 exclusive of interest and costs:    8. Products Liability   Autority Cases    8. Products Liability   Aut	Address of Defendant: <u>N Broadway, S Amboy, N</u>	J 08879			
Case Number: Judge: Date Terminated:  Civil cases are deemed related when Yes is answered to any of the following questions:  1. Is this case related to properly included in an earlier numbered sair pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?  2. Does this case involve the value issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior sair yes. No X pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?  3. Does this case involve the valuifing or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier mumbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action of this court?  4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights Yes No X case flied by the same individual?  4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights Yes No X case flied by the same individual?  CIVIL: (Place a vin one category only)  Anomey-a-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff Anomey-LD # (f applicable)  CIVIL: (Place a vin one category only)  A. Pedaral Question Cases:    Diversity Jurialization Cases:   Diversity Jurializat	Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: _	Broad St., through its intersection with	h Callowhill St., in Philadelphia, PA		
Civil cases are deemed related when Yes is answered to any of the following questions:  1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this count?  2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this count?  3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier pending or within one year previously terminated action of this count?  4. Is this case a second or successive habeas curpus, sucial security appeal, or pro se civil rights pending or within one year previously terminated action of this count?  5. Is this case a second or successive habeas curpus, sucial security appeal, or pro se civil rights pending or within one year previously terminated action in this count excepts a noted above.  6. Is this case a second or successive habeas curpus, sucial security appeal, or pro se civil rights pending or within one year previously terminated action in this count.  6. It is not the previously terminated action in this count.  7. It is not not category only)  7. Pedrat Question Cases:  8. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:  8. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:  1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts  2. PELA  3. Jones Acts Personal Injury  4. Anothers  5. Patent  6. It abor-Management Relations  7. Civil Rights  8. Habeas Copus  9. Securities Act(s) Cases  10. Social Security Review Cases  11. All other Federal Question Cases  12. Pelacts Liability  13. Assault, Defamation  14. Another Diversity Cases  15. Other Personal Injury  16. Labor-Management Relations  17. Products Liability  18. Habeas Copus  19. Securities Act(s) Cases  19. Securities Act(s) Cases  19. Products Liability  19. Products Liability  10. Social Rule 53.2, \$3 (c) (2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest	RELATED CASE, IF ANY:				
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered sait pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?  2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit yes No X pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?  3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action of this court?  4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights Yes No X case filed by the same individual?  1. Certify that, to my knowledge, the within case   is / \( \sigma \) is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.  1. Indemnity Contract and Other Contract and All Other Contracts   2. Attorney at Automacy at Automac	Case Number:	Judge:	Date Terminated:		
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?  3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier pending or within one year previously terminated action of this court?  4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights	Civil cases are deemed related when Yes is answer	red to any of the following questions:			
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action of this courr?  4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights  1 certify that, to my knowledge, the within case   is /   is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.  DATE: 11:04/2022					
A. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights    Certify that, to my knowledge, the within case   is /   is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.    Certify that, to my knowledge, the within case   is /   is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.    DATE:   11.04/2022					
Case filed by the same individual?   I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case   is / \( \sigma \) is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.   201798			Yes No X		
this court except as noted above.    DATE:					
Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff Attorney LD. # (if applicable)  CIVIL: (Place a \sqrt{in one category only)}  A. Federal Question Cases:    1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts   1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts   2. FELA   2. Airplane Personal Injury   3. Assault, Defamation   4. Antitrust   4. Marine Personal Injury   5. Patent   5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury   6. Labor-Management Relations   7. Gvil Rights   7. Forducts Liability   8. Habeas Corpus   8. Products Liability - Asbestos   9. Securities Act(s) Cases   9. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):			within one year previously terminated action in		
CIVIL: (Place a \sqrt in one category only)  A. Federal Question Cases:    1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts   2. FELA   2. Airplane Personal Injury   3. Assault, Defamation   4. Antitrust   4. Antitrust   4. Antitrust   4. Antitrust   5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury   5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury   6. Labor-Management Relations   6. Labor-Management Relations   7. Civil Rights   7. Products Liability   8. Habeas Corpus   9. Securities Act(s) Cases   9. Securities Act(s) Cases   9. All other Federal Question Cases   9. All other Federal Question Cases   9. All other Pederal Question Cases   9. All	DATE:	DATE:			
A. Federal Question Cases:    1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts   1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts   2. FEL.A   2. FEL.A   2. Airplane Personal Injury   3. Assault, Defamation   4. Antitrust   4. Marine Personal Injury   5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury   5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury   6. Labor-Management Relations   7. Civil Rights   7. Products Liability - Asbestos   9. Securities Act(s) Cases   9. Securities Act(s) Cases   9. All other Diversity Cases (Please specify):   7. Products Liability - Asbestos   9. All other Diversity Cases (Please specify):   7. Products Liability of arbitration.)    Amorties Act(s) Cases   9. All other Diversity Cases (Please specify):   7. Products Liability of arbitration.)    Amorties Act(s) Cases   9. All other Diversity Cases (Please specify):   7. Products Liability of arbitration.)    Amorties Act(s) Cases   9. All other Diversity Cases (Please specify):   7. Products Liability of arbitration.)    Amorties Act(s) Cases   9. All other Diversity Cases (Please specify):   7. Products Liability of arbitration.)    Amorties Act(s) Cases   9. All other Diversity Cases (Please specify):   7. Products Liability of arbitration.)    Amorties Act(s) Cases   9. All other Diversity Cases (Please specify):   7. Products Liability of arbitration.)    Amorties Act(s) Cases   9. All other Diversity Cases (Please specify):   7. Products Liability of arbitration.)    Amorties Act(s) Cases   9. All other Diversity Cases (Please specify):   7. Products Liability of arbitration.)    Amorties Act(s) Cases   9. All other Diversity Cases (Please specify):   7. Products Liability of arbitration.)    Amorties Act(s) Cases   9. All other Diversity Cases (Please specify):   7. Products Liability of arbitration.)    Amorties Act(s) Cases   9. All other Diversity Cases (Please specify):   7. Products Liability of arbitration.)    Amorties Act(s) Cases   9. All other Diversity Cases (Please specify):   7. Products Liability of arbitration.)		Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff	Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)		
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts   2. Airplane Personal Injury   2. Airplane Personal Injury   3. Assault, Defamation   4. Antitrust   4. Marine Personal Injury   5. Patent   4. Marine Personal Injury   5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury   6. Labor-Management Relations   6. Labor-Management Relations   7. Civil Rights   7. Products Liability   7. Products Liability   8. Habeas Corpus   8. Products Liability   9. Products Liabil					
2. FELA     2. Airplane Personal Injury   3. Assault, Defamation   4. Antitrust   4. Marine Personal Injury   5. Patent   5. Patent   5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury   6. Other Personal Injury   7. Other Personal Injury   7. Other Personal Injury   7. Other Personal Injury   7. Other Per	CIVIL: (Place a $$ in one category only)				
(The effect of this certification is to remove the case from eligibility for arbitration.)  I,		B. Diversity Jurisdiction	Cases:		
I,	A. Federal Question Cases:  1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, ar 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases	1. Insurance Contacts  2. Airplane Person  3. Assault, Defan  4. Marine Person  5. Motor Vehicle  6. Other Personal  7. Products Liabil  8. Products Liabil  9. All other Diver (Please specify):	tract and Other Contracts nal Injury nation al Injury Personal Injury Injury (Please specify): lity lity – Asbestos rsity Cases		
exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs:  Relief other than monetary damages is sought.  DATE: 11/04/2022 Signification 201798  Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)	A. Federal Question Cases:  1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, ar 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases	1. Insurance Cont  2. Airplane Perso  3. Assault, Defan  4. Marine Person  5. Motor Vehicle  6. Other Personal  7. Products Liabi  8. Products Liabi  9. All other Diver  (Please specify):	tract and Other Contracts nal Injury nation al Injury Personal Injury Injury (Please specify): lity lity – Asbestos rsity Cases		
DATE: 11/04/2022 Signific Fapplicable 201798  Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)	A. Federal Question Cases:  1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):  (The eff	ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION  ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION  ARBITRATION certification is to remove the case from eligibility.	tract and Other Contracts nal Injury nation al Injury Personal Injury Injury (Please specify): Lity Lity – Asbestos rsity Cases		
DATE: Signifier Happicable  Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)	A. Federal Question Cases:  1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):  (The eff	ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION  ARBITRATION certification is to remove the case from eligibility  , counsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify:  (2) Airplane Person  3) Assault, Defan  4) Marine Person  6) Other Personal  7) Products Liabi  9) All other Diver  (Please specify):	tract and Other Contracts and Injury nation al Injury Personal Injury Injury (Please specify): Lity Lity – Asbestos rsity Cases		
	A. Federal Question Cases:  1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, ar 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):  (The eff  Marc Simon  Fursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, § 3(c) exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive	ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION  ARBITRATION certification is to remove the case from eligibility.  , counsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify:  (2) Airplane Person  3. Assault, Defan  4. Marine Person  6. Other Personal  7. Products Liabi  9. All other Diver (Please specify):  (Please specify):  ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION  fect of this certification is to remove the case from eligibility.  1. Insurance Cont  2. Airplane Person  4. Marine Person  6. Other Personal  7. Products Liabi  9. All other Diver (Please specify):	tract and Other Contracts and Injury nation al Injury Personal Injury Injury (Please specify): Lity Lity – Asbestos rsity Cases		
	A. Federal Question Cases:  1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):  (The eff  Marc Simon  I,	ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION    ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION	tract and Other Contracts nal Injury nation al Injury Personal Injury Injury (Please specify): lity lity – Asbestos rsity Cases  y for arbitration.)  damages recoverable in this civil action case		

### 

### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

#### CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address	
215-467-4666	267-639-9006	MarcSimon@gosimon.co	om_
Date	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for	
11/04/2022	Marc Simon	Antawine Mathis	
(f) Standard Management –	Cases that do not fall into any	one of the other tracks.	(X)
commonly referred to as	Cases that do not fall into track complex and that need specia ide of this form for a detailed	l or intense management by	( )
(d) Asbestos – Cases involv exposure to asbestos.	ing claims for personal injury	or property damage from	( )
(c) Arbitration – Cases requ	ired to be designated for arbiti	ration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	( )
	requesting review of a decision laying plaintiff Social Security		( )
•	brought under 28 U.S.C. § 224	<b>G</b> -	( )
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	OLLOWING CASE MANAC	GEMENT TRACKS:	
plaintiff shall complete a Ca filing the complaint and serve side of this form.) In the ed designation, that defendant s the plaintiff and all other par	se Management Track Design e a copy on all defendants. (Se event that a defendant does no shall, with its first appearance,	Reduction Plan of this court, counse ation Form in all civil cases at the tire § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the report agree with the plaintiff regarding submit to the clerk of court and service Designation Form specifying the ed.	ne of verse said ve on
Jorge Calles, et al.,	:	NO.	
V.	:		
Antawine Mathis	:	CIVIL ACTION	

(Civ. 660) 10/02

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Antawine Mathis	
4525 N Carlisle St.	:
Philadelphia, PA 19140	: # <u></u>
Plaintiff	:
V.	:
	:
Jorge Calles	:
5 Manchester Rd.	:
Flemington, NJ 08822	:
And	:
G Calles Transport, LLC	:
117 Delacy Ave.	
North Plainfield, NJ 07060	:
And	:
Wizard Transport, Inc. d/b/a Wizard	:
Transport	:
131 N Broadway	:
S. Amboy, NJ 08879	:
Defendant(s)	

#### **COMPLAINT**

#### **PARTIES**

- 1. Plaintiff, Antawine Mathis ("Plaintiff"), is a resident of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, residing at the address listed in the caption of this Complaint.
- 2. Upon information and belief, Defendant Jorge Calles is a resident of the State of New Jersey, residing at the address listed in the caption of this Complaint.
- 3. Defendant G Calles Transport, LLC, is a business entity registered to do business in the State of New Jersey, with a business address listed in the caption of this Complaint, which regularly conducts business in Philadelphia County.
- 4. Defendant Wizard Transport, Inc. d/b/a Wizard Transport, is a business entity registered to do business in the State of New Jersey, with a business address listed in the caption of this Complaint, which regularly conducts business in Philadelphia County.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 5. This Court has jurisdiction over the parties and subject matter of this Civil Action-Complaint in that the Plaintiff, Antawine Mathis, is a citizen of Pennsylvania and the Defendant, Jorge Calles, is a citizen of New Jersey, and the Defendants, G Calles Transport, LLC and Wizard Transport, Inc. d/b/a Wizard Transport, upon information and belief are corporate entities with their principal place of business in New Jersey and the amount in controversy in this case, exclusive of interest and costs, exceeds the sum of \$75,000.
- 6. Venue is proper in this jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(a) (1) and (2) in that this is a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions

giving rise to the claims asserted in this Complaint occurred in this judicial district.

#### **FACTS**

- 7. On or about May 2, 2022, Plaintiff was the operator of a motor vehicle traveling on Broad St., through its intersection with Callowhill St., in Philadelphia, PA.
- 8. At or about the same date and time, Jorge Calles was the operator of an Semitrailer truck which was owned, rented, leased, and/or otherwise under the control of and entrusted to him/her by Defendants G Calles Transport, LLC and Wizard Transport, Inc. d/b/a Wizard Transport (the "Subject Semi-trailer Truck").
- 9. Upon information and belief, the Subject Semi-trailer Truck bore the logo and business name of Defendants G Calles Transport, LLC and Wizard Transport, Inc. d/b/a Wizard Transport, and was being used for the business purpose(s) of Defendants G Calles Transport, LLC and Wizard Transport, Inc. d/b/a Wizard Transport.
- 10. At or about the same date and time, Jorge Calles was driving the Subject Semitrailer Truck behind Plaintiff's vehicle when he/she suddenly, and without warning, crashed into the rear end of Plaintiff's vehicle.
- 11. At all times relevant hereto, including the time of the subject motor vehicle collision, Jorge Calles was an agent, employee, and/or servant of Defendants G Calles Transport, LLC and Wizard Transport, Inc. d/b/a Wizard Transport, and was operating the Subject Semi-trailer Truck in the course and scope of his/her agency and/or employment with Defendants G Calles Transport, LLC and Wizard Transport, Inc. d/b/a Wizard Transport.

- 12. Upon information and belief, in proceeding to crash into the rear-end of Plaintiff's vehicle, Jorge Calles failed to maintain an assured clear distance from vehicles ahead, failed to pay adequate attention to his/her surroundings ahead, failed to ensure traffic ahead was clear, was in a hurry, and/or was simply fatigued and/or distracted.
- 13. The collective and individual acts, omissions, and failures detailed above and further below on the part of Defendants, Jorge Calles, G Calles Transport, LLC and Wizard Transport, Inc. d/b/a Wizard Transport, were careless and negligent.
- 14. The subject motor vehicle collision was proximately caused by the carelessness, and/or negligence of Defendants, Jorge Calles, G Calles Transport, LLC and Wizard Transport, Inc. d/b/a Wizard Transport, and not the result of any action or failure to act by Plaintiff.
- 15. As a direct and proximate result of the joint and/or several and/or direct or vicarious negligence and/or carelessness of Defendants, Jorge Calles, G Calles Transport, LLC and Wizard Transport, Inc. d/b/a Wizard Transport, Plaintiff has sustained serious permanent personal injuries and damages, including to the neck, back and left hip.

## COUNT I Antawine Mathis v. Jorge Calles Personal Injury—Negligence

- 16. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as if set forth fully at length herein.
- 17. The negligence and/or carelessness of Defendant Jorge Calles, which was the proximate cause of the subject motor vehicle collision and the resultant injuries

and damages suffered by Plaintiff included the following actions/inactions:

- a. Failure to exercise ordinary care to avoid a crash;
- b. Operating the Subject Semi-trailer Truck without regard for the rights or safety of Plaintiff or the general motoring public, and in such a manner as to rear-end Plaintiff's vehicle;
- c. Failing to keep a proper lookout for vehicular traffic ahead;
- d. Failing to adequately, sufficiently, and properly clear traffic to ensure it was safe to continuing proceeding forward;
- e. Failing to yield the right of way to vehicular traffic ahead, including Plaintiff;
- f. Failing to maintain proper distance between vehicles;
- g. Failing to have the Subject Semi-trailer Truck under proper and adequate control;
- h. Operating the Subject Semi-trailer Truck at a rate of speed that was dangerous and excessive under the circumstances;
- i. Violating the "assured clear distance ahead" rule;
- j. Failure to apply his/her brakes earlier to stop the Subject Semi-trailer Truck to avoid the subject motor vehicle collision;
- k. Being inattentive to his/her duties as the operator of the Subject Semi-trailer Truck;
- 1. Failing to remain continually alert while operating the Subject Semi-trailer Truck;
- m. Failing to perceive the highly apparent danger to the monitoring public, specifically, including Plaintiff, which his/her actions and/or inactions posed;
- n. Failing to be highly vigilant and maintain sufficient control of the Subject Semi-trailer Truck and bring it to a stop on the shortest notice possible;
- o. Operating the Subject Semi-trailer Truck with disregard for the rights of Plaintiff, even though he/she was aware or should have been aware of the presence of Plaintiff and the threat of harm posed to him/her;
- p. Continuing to operate the Subject Semi-trailer Truck in a direction towards Plaintiff's vehicle when he/she saw, or in the exercise of reasonable diligence, should have seen that further operation in that direction would result in a crash;
- q. Failing to give Plaintiff meaningful warning signs concerning the impending collision;

- r. Failing to exercise and adhere to proper safe and defensive driving practices as set forth in his/her driver training and/or required by applicable state and/or Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations
- s. Driving while above the hours of service allotted by applicable state and Federal Motor Carrier Regulations and/or otherwise fatigued;
- t. Driving while distracted;
- u. Failing to stop and remain at, and instead fleeing, the scene of the subject motor vehicle collision without notifying any authorities as to the occurrence of same; and
- v. Failing to operate said commercial truck in a compliance with applicable state and local laws and ordinances pertaining to the operation and control of motor vehicles including violations of Pennsylvania Motor Vehicle Code Sections 3303, 3304, 3310, 3333, 3361, 3714, 3736, 3742, 3743, 3744, 3746, and/or;
  - 18. As a direct and proximate result of the negligent, and/or careless conduct of Defendant Jorge Calles, described above, Plaintiff suffered various serious and permanent personal injuries, serious impairment of bodily function and/or permanent serious disfigurement and/or aggravation of pre-existing conditions and others ills and injuries including to the neck, back and left hip, all to Plaintiff's great loss and detriment.
  - 19. As a direct and proximate result of these injuries, all of which are permanent in nature and all of which are to Plaintiff's great financial detriment and loss, Plaintiff has in the past suffered and is presently suffering great anguish, sickness and agony, and will continue to so suffer for an indefinite time into the future.
  - 20. As an additional result of the carelessness and/or negligence, of Defendant Jorge Calles, along with the physical injuries suffered, Plaintiff suffered and is presently suffering emotional injuries, and will continue to so suffer for an indefinite time into the future.
  - 21. As a direct result of the carelessness, and/or negligence of Defendant Jorge Calles,

Plaintiff suffered damage to his/her personal property, including the motor vehicle he/she was operating at the time of the subject motor vehicle collision, and related expenses including but not limited to storage fees and towing, all to Plaintiff's great loss and detriment.

- 22. As a further result of Plaintiff's injuries, he/she has in the past suffered, is presently suffering, and may in the future suffer a great loss of earnings and/or earning capacity, all to Plaintiff's further loss and detriment.
- 23. Furthermore, the above-described conduct was outrageous, malicious, and exhibited a willful and wanton disregard for the safety of the motoring public, including Plaintiff, and as a result, Plaintiff is entitled to and seeks herein punitive damages from Defendant Jorge Calles.
- 24. Finally, in addition to all the injuries and losses suffered by Plaintiff, Plaintiff has incurred or will incur medical, rehabilitative and other related expenses in an amount equal to or in excess of the basic personal injury protection benefits required by the Pennsylvania Motor Vehicle Financial Responsibility Law, 75 Pa. C.S. Section 1701 *et. seq.*, as amended, for which he/she makes a claim for payment in the present action.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Antawine Mathis, prays for judgment in his/her favor and against Defendant, Jorge Calles, in an amount in excess of Seventy-Five (\$75,000.00) Dollars, plus punitive damages, plus all costs and other relief this court deems just.

## COUNT II Antawine Mathis v. G Calles Transport, LLC Negligent Hiring, Retention, Training, Supervision, and Entrustment

regingent initing, recention, framing, supervision, and Entrusement

25. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as if set forth

fully at length herein.

- 26. The carelessness and/or negligence of Defendant G Calles Transport, LLC, which was the proximate cause of the aforesaid motor vehicle collision and the resultant injuries and damages sustained by Plaintiff, included the following actions/inactions:
- a. Hiring, retaining, and permitting Defendant Jorge Calles to operate the Subject Semitrailer Truck without first properly and adequately qualifying him/her consistent with industry custom and applicable regulations;
- b. Hiring, retaining, and permitting Defendant Jorge Calles to operate the Subject Semitrailer Truck without first conducting a proper and adequate background check to ascertain whether or not he/she was competent and fit to properly and safely operate a commercial truck;
- c. Failing to provide proper, adequate, ongoing and/or remedial training to Defendant Jorge Calles consistent with industry custom and applicable regulations, including safe and defensive driving training in general and specific to paying proper attention to his/her surroundings and avoiding in-vehicle distractions;
- d. Failing to take proper and adequate measures to prevent Defendant Jorge Calles from endangering the motoring public, specifically including Plaintiff, by: failing to pay proper attention to his/her surroundings while driving; driving while distracted and/or fatigued; and/or rushing and/or hurrying;
- e. Retaining and permitting Defendant Jorge Calles to operate the Subject Semi-trailer Truck when Defendant G Calles Transport, LLC knew or, in the exercise of due care and diligence, should have known by and through his prior unsafe and/or substandard driving conduct during his/her tenure as agent/employee of Defendant G Calles Transport, LLC that Defendant Jorge Calles was incompetent and/or unfit to drive a commercial truck, capable of committing and likely to commit actions and inactions like those set forth above that would harm the motoring public;
- f. Failing to properly and adequately train, monitor and/or supervise Defendant Jorge Calles to ensure he/she would adhere to proper safe and defensive driving practices custom to the industry and/or required by the Pennsylvania Motor Vehicle Code, and/or applicable regulations;
- g. Failing to properly and adequately train, monitor, and/or supervise Defendant Jorge Calles to ensure he/she could manage collision-free encounters with the motoring public, specifically including Plaintiff;

- h. Unreasonably setting and assigning Defendant Jorge Calles a route that was too tight/aggressive for him/her to perform without hurrying and/or rushing to complete said route;
- i. Allowing and/or directing Defendant Jorge Calles to operate the Subject Semi-trailer Truck while he/she was fatigued; and
- j. Failing to warn the motoring public, including Plaintiff, that Defendant Jorge Calles knew or in the existence of due care and diligence should have known, that plaintiff would be exposed to Defendant Valentin-Figueroa's negligent, and/or careless operation of the commercial truck.
  - 27. As a direct and proximate result of the negligent, and/or careless conduct of Defendant G Calles Transport, LLC, described above, Plaintiff suffered various serious and permanent personal injuries, serious impairment of bodily function and/or permanent serious disfigurement and/or aggravation of pre-existing conditions and others ills and injuries including to the neck, back and left hip, all to Plaintiff's great loss and detriment.
  - 28. As a direct and proximate result of these injuries, all of which are permanent in nature and all of which are to Plaintiff's great financial detriment and loss, Plaintiff has in the past suffered and is presently suffering great anguish, sickness and agony, and will continue to so suffer for an indefinite time into the future.
  - 29. As an additional result of the carelessness, and/or negligence of Defendant G Calles Transport, LLC, along with the physical injuries suffered, Plaintiff suffered and is presently suffering emotional injuries, and will continue to so suffer for an indefinite time into the future.
  - 30. As a direct result of the carelessness and/or negligence of Defendant G Calles Transport, LLC, Plaintiff suffered damage to his personal property, including the motor vehicle he/she was operating at the time of the subject left-turn crash, and

- related expenses including but not limited to storage fees and towing, all to Plaintiff's great loss and detriment.
- 31. As a further result of Plaintiff's injuries, he/she has in the past suffered, is presently suffering, and may in the future suffer a great loss of earnings and/or earning capacity, all to Plaintiff's further loss and detriment.
- 32. Furthermore, the above-described conduct was outrageous, malicious, and exhibited a willful and wanton disregard for the safety of the motoring public, including Plaintiff, and as a result, Plaintiff is entitled to and seeks herein punitive damages from Defendant G Calles Transport, LLC.
- 33. Finally, in addition to all the injuries and losses suffered by Plaintiff, Plaintiff has incurred or will incur medical, rehabilitative and other related expenses in an amount equal to or in excess of the basic personal injury protection benefits required by the Pennsylvania Motor Vehicle Financial Responsibility Law, 75 Pa. C.S. Section 1701 et. seq., as amended, for which he makes a claim for payment in the present action.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Antawine Mathis, prays for judgment in his/her favor and against Defendant, G Calles Transport, LLC, in an amount in excess of Seventy-Five (\$75,000.00) Dollars, plus punitive damages, plus all costs and other relief this court deems just.

# COUNT III Antawine Mathis v. G Calles Transport, LLC Respondeat Superior

- 34. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth at length herein.
- 35. The negligence, carelessness, and/or malicious conduct on the part of Defendant

Jorge Calles, detailed in Count I, above, occurred while Defendant Jorge Calles was acting at all relevant times within the course and scope of his/her agency and/or employment with G Calles Transport, LLC, and was the direct and proximate cause of the above-described motor vehicle collision and proximately resulting injuries and damages sustained by Plaintiff.

36. As such, Defendant G Calles Transport, LLC is vicariously liable under the doctrine of respondent superior result for the negligence and/or careless conduct of Defendant Jorge Calles in causing the above-described motor vehicle collision and proximately resulting injuries and damages sustained by Plaintiff.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Antawine Mathis, prays for judgment in his/her favor and against Defendant, G Calles Transport, LLC, in an amount in excess of Seventy-Five (\$75,000.00) Dollars, plus punitive damages, plus all costs and other relief this court deems just.

#### **COUNT IV**

Antawine Mathis v. Wizard Transport, Inc. d/b/a Wizard Transport Negligent Hiring, Retention, Training, Supervision, and Entrustment

- 37. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as if set forth fully at length herein.
- 38. The carelessness and/or negligence of Defendant Wizard Transport, Inc. d/b/a Wizard Transport, which was the proximate cause of the aforesaid motor vehicle collision and the resultant injuries and damages sustained by Plaintiff, included the following actions/inactions:
  - a. Hiring, retaining, and permitting Defendant Jorge Calles to operate the Subject Semi-trailer Truck without first properly and adequately qualifying him/her consistent with industry custom and applicable regulations;
  - b. Hiring, retaining, and permitting Defendant Jorge Calles to operate

the Subject Semi-trailer Truck without first conducting a proper and adequate background check to ascertain whether or not he/she was competent and fit to properly and safely operate a commercial truck;

- c. Failing to provide proper, adequate, ongoing and/or remedial training to Defendant Jorge Calles consistent with industry custom and applicable regulations, including safe and defensive driving training in general and specific to paying proper attention to his/her surroundings and avoiding in-vehicle distractions;
- d. Failing to take proper and adequate measures to prevent Defendant Jorge Calles from endangering the motoring public, specifically including Plaintiff, by: failing to pay proper attention to his/her surroundings while driving; driving while distracted and/or fatigued; and/or rushing and/or hurrying;
- e. Retaining and permitting Defendant Jorge Calles to operate the Subject Semi-trailer Truck when Defendant Wizard Transport, Inc. d/b/a Wizard Transport knew or, in the exercise of due care and diligence, should have known by and through his prior unsafe and/or substandard driving conduct during his/her tenure as agent/employee of Defendant Wizard Transport, Inc. d/b/a Wizard Transport that Defendant Jorge Calles was incompetent and/or unfit to drive a commercial truck, capable of committing and likely to commit actions and inactions like those set forth above that would harm the motoring public;
- f. Failing to properly and adequately train, monitor and/or supervise Defendant Jorge Calles to ensure he/she would adhere to proper safe and defensive driving practices custom to the industry and/or required by the Pennsylvania Motor Vehicle Code, and/or applicable regulations;
- g. Failing to properly and adequately train, monitor, and/or supervise Defendant Jorge Calles to ensure he/she could manage collision-free encounters with the motoring public, specifically including Plaintiff;
- h. Unreasonably setting and assigning Defendant Jorge Calles a route that was too tight/aggressive for him/her to perform without hurrying and/or rushing to complete said route;
- i. Allowing and/or directing Defendant Jorge Calles to operate the Subject Semi-trailer Truck while he/she was fatigued; and

- j. Failing to warn the motoring public, including Plaintiff, that Defendant Jorge Calles knew or in the existence of due care and diligence should have known, that plaintiff would be exposed to Defendant Valentin-Figueroa's negligent, and/or careless operation of the commercial truck.
- 39. As a direct and proximate result of the negligent, and/or careless conduct of Defendant Wizard Transport, Inc. d/b/a Wizard Transport, described above, Plaintiff suffered various serious and permanent personal injuries, serious impairment of bodily function and/or permanent serious disfigurement and/or aggravation of pre-existing conditions and others ills and injuries including to the neck, back and left hip, all to Plaintiff's great loss and detriment.
- 40. As a direct and proximate result of these injuries, all of which are permanent in nature and all of which are to Plaintiff's great financial detriment and loss, Plaintiff has in the past suffered and is presently suffering great anguish, sickness and agony, and will continue to so suffer for an indefinite time into the future.
- 41. As an additional result of the carelessness, and/or negligence of Defendant Wizard Transport, Inc. d/b/a Wizard Transport, along with the physical injuries suffered, Plaintiff suffered and is presently suffering emotional injuries, and will continue to so suffer for an indefinite time into the future.
- 42. As a direct result of the carelessness and/or negligence of Defendant Wizard Transport, Inc. d/b/a Wizard Transport, Plaintiff suffered damage to his personal property, including the motor vehicle he/she was operating at the time of the subject left-turn crash, and related expenses including but not limited to storage fees and towing, all to Plaintiff's great loss and detriment.
- 43. As a further result of Plaintiff's injuries, he/she has in the past suffered, is presently

- suffering, and may in the future suffer a great loss of earnings and/or earning capacity, all to Plaintiff's further loss and detriment.
- 44. Furthermore, the above-described conduct was outrageous, malicious, and exhibited a willful and wanton disregard for the safety of the motoring public, including Plaintiff, and as a result, Plaintiff is entitled to and seeks herein punitive damages from Defendant Wizard Transport, Inc. d/b/a Wizard Transport.
- 45. Finally, in addition to all the injuries and losses suffered by Plaintiff, Plaintiff has incurred or will incur medical, rehabilitative and other related expenses in an amount equal to or in excess of the basic personal injury protection benefits required by the Pennsylvania Motor Vehicle Financial Responsibility Law, 75 Pa. C.S. Section 1701 *et. seq.*, as amended, for which he makes a claim for payment in the present action.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Antawine Mathis, prays for judgment in his/her favor and against Defendant, Wizard Transport, Inc. d/b/a Wizard Transport, in an amount in excess of Seventy-Five (\$75,000.00) Dollars, plus punitive damages, plus all costs and other relief this court deems just.

## COUNT V Antawine Mathis v. Wizard Transport, Inc. d/b/a Wizard Transport Respondent Superior

- 46. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth at length herein.
- 47. The negligence, carelessness, and/or malicious conduct on the part of Defendant Jorge Calles, detailed in Count I, above, occurred while Defendant Jorge Calles was acting at all relevant times within the course and scope of his/her agency

and/or employment with Wizard Transport, Inc. d/b/a Wizard Transport, and was

the direct and proximate cause of the above-described motor vehicle collision and

proximately resulting injuries and damages sustained by Plaintiff.

48. As such, Defendant Wizard Transport, Inc. d/b/a Wizard Transport is vicariously

liable under the doctrine of respondeat superior result for the negligence and/or

careless conduct of Defendant Jorge Calles in causing the above-described motor

vehicle collision and proximately resulting injuries and damages sustained by

Plaintiff.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Antawine Mathis, prays for judgment in his/her favor and

against Defendant, Wizard Transport, Inc. d/b/a Wizard Transport, in an amount in excess of

Seventy-Five (\$75,000.00) Dollars, plus punitive damages, plus all costs and other relief this court

deems just.

SIMON & SIMON, P.C.

BY: Marc Simon

Marc Simon, Esquire